



**MINUTES
TOWN OF HILLSBORO BEACH
SPECIAL COMMISSION MEETING**

THURSDAY

AUGUST 13, 2020

10:00 A.M.

CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Deborah L. Tarrant called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. Roll was called with the Commission members and staff present via Zoom video as listed below.

Town Commission:

Mayor Deborah L. Tarrant
Commissioner Andrew R. Brown
Commissioner Barbara Baldassarre

Vice Mayor Irene Kirdahy (joined at 10:09)
Commissioner Vicky Feaman

Town Staff:

Town Manager Mac Serda
DJ Doody, Town Attorney
Town Clerk Sherry D. Henderson, CMC

Town Manager Mac Serda shared the Governor's order allowing for online meeting and outlined the procedure to be followed. He explained the notice given and provided instruction on the Zoom platform s being utilized to host the meeting online. He further noted public comment was being accepted by email, as well as on the Zoom Video Conference System.

I. RESOLUTIONS & CONTRACTS

A. Resolution No. 2020-49 Consideration to Approve and Authorize the Appropriate Town Officials to Execute Fourth Amendment (Revised) to Commercial Contract Between Town of Hillsboro Beach and Winter Sun Investments, LLC.

Mr. Doody read Resolution 2020-49 by title only.

Mr. Doody provided a brief background on the agreement with Winter Sun Investments for the purchase of 1205 and 1206 Hillsboro Mile. He explained the agreement allowed for an inspection and due diligence period, which was subsequently extended three (3) separate times by amendment.

Mr. Doody stated he has been in contact with counsel for Winter Sun Investments to again extend the inspection period, and the amendment before the Commission was the result. He outlined changes which had been made to the amendment since the Commission reviewed it on July 28, 2020:

- End of inspection period extended from August 17 to December 15
- Closing date 30 days subsequent to end of inspection period
- Closing prorations calculated as of September 30, 2020, which was the original closing date
- Removed carrying cost requirement
- Added language that imposes on the Town the obligation to be responsible for real taxes and assessments beginning September 30, 2020 (approximately \$400 per day), to be deducted from deposit at closing

Mr. Doody stated the Town maintained the ability to terminate the contract prior to October 1, 2020 to avoid obligation of taxes and assessments. He asserted the options before the Commissioner were to terminate the contract or to approve the amendment, as the current agreement terminates on August 17 and the Town would forfeit the deposit were that date allowed to lapse without action.

Mayor Tarrant stated the need for a long-term beach nourishment and restoration plan couldn't be more obvious. She noted the FEMA-funded projects completed in the past few years were stopgap measures and stated putting sand on the beach is and will always be a necessity to provide a healthy beach.

Continuing, Mayor Tarrant stated putting in groins or rockpiles was not an option, with a cost of more than \$50 million and no guarantee of success. She noted those groins would need to be continually filled with sand and would require permits and result in conflicts from a variety of municipalities and groups. She stated the need for a realistic, long-term solution was the reason the Commission voted to purchase 1205 and 1206 Hillsboro Mile.

Mayor Tarrant stated her position was that the Commission should accept amendment four (4) and continue pursuing the agreement.

Commissioner Feaman agreed, noting she was also in favor of the amendment.

Commissioner Baldassarre stated there would not be a cost until October 1, so supporting the action at this time was a good idea.

Vice Mayor Kirdahy clarified the Town did not want to lose the deposit, so should accept the agreement and move forward. She stated it was not costing the Town anything to provide the fail-safe.

Commissioner Brown asked if the taxes were current on the property.

Mr. Doody stated that the taxes were up to date.

Commissioner Brown asked how the costs after September 30 would be paid.

Mr. Doody clarified the contract stipulated any payments due would be taken off the deposit being held in escrow. He noted \$400 per day was an estimate based on 2019 taxes and would adjust after the start of the year.

Motion made by Commissioner Baldasarre, seconded by Commissioner Feaman, to approve Resolution 2020-49. In a roll call vote, the **motion** passed unanimously. (5-0)

II. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Town Clerk Sherry D. Henderson read public comments submitted prior to the meeting. (Clerks Note: Comments entered into the record and added to meeting minutes)

Mark Ginsburg sent an email stating it would be virtually impossible to have funding in place by the extension date, and outlined the potential dates associated with the appeal currently being undergone by the Town.

J.R. Fishman sent an email in opposition of the purchase of 1205 and 1206 Hillsboro Mile.

Ms. Henderson read the first three (3) minutes of the email.

Town Manager Mac Serda stated the full text of the email would be attached to the minutes for the record.

John Carlson sent an email stating he rejected the amendment because the purchase was being made for political purposes and a cost/benefit analysis did not exist. He outlined a list of reasons he was in opposition to the purchase.

Ms. Henderson read the first three (3) minutes of the email. She stated the full text of the email would be attached to the minutes for the record.

Celinda Sawtelle sent an email stating the Town needed to apologize to Deerfield Beach and move forward with a coalition to support the beach.

Mayor Tarrant opened the meeting to additional public comment.

Vice Mayor Kirdahy noted a lot of the questions brought up in the comments were answered during the previous discussion.

Mayor Tarrant stated people make statements as though they are fact, but that is not necessarily the case. She asserted the insinuation that there was some sort of under the table or nefarious transaction was false.

Kim Williams stated that Mr. Serda had said an updated appraisal on the property would make sense because of potential reductions in property values due to COVID-19.

Mayor Tarrant responded staff had reached out to the appraisers and were told an appraisal was an option, but due to reduced interest rates, the appraisers found prices were skyrocketing. She stated the Town would also realize the benefits of reduced interest rates when making the purchase.

Mayor Tarrant outlined the number of parcels in town and stated the 118 signatures on the petition were a small portion of the owners.

Marshall Geisser commented regarding the timeline of the agreement. He asked if a separate determination would be made in September regarding the purchase, prior to the accrual of taxes and assessments.

Mayor Tarrant stated that a special meeting would be called.

Mr. Geisser noted the facts would not change by that time, as litigation would continue through December or January.

Mayor Tarrant responded there is always the possibility that something would change. She noted it costs the Town nothing to hold the line until September 30.

Mr. Geisser stated his concern that the Town had the \$350,000 deposit on the line, noting the litigation he was party to would not go away.

Henry Chajet spoke in opposition of the purchase of 1205 and 1206 Hillsboro Mile. He stated he did not want the trucks there and he thanks those who have litigated against the taxation.

Manny Hernandez stated he had been listening to the comments and asked for clarification regarding the potential timeline.

Mr. Doody stated as of the execution of the amendment, the closing would be January 2021. He noted closing on that date was unlikely with the current situation in court. He

stated if the purchase moves forward, the assessment would not happen until the latter part of 2021.

Celinda Sawtelle asked why comments were not read prior to vote, noting she had submitted a comment in opposition to the amendment.

Mayor Tarrant stated public comments were taken in regard to Ordinances, and at the end of each meeting for other items.

Ms. Sawtelle made a statement in opposition to the purchase, stating many residents believe they will have the opportunity to vote prior to a decision being made on the purchase. She stated the Commission should require the current owner to tear down the building and pay the associated liens and fines.

Mayor Tarrant closed the public comments. She reiterated the importance of getting sand on the beach and noted the legal fees amounted to little in comparison to what had been spent on stopgap measures which were not working.

Mayor Tarrant stated engineers and attorneys were consulting and the Commission was doing the best it could to represent the Town.

III. ADJOURNMENT

Motion made by Commissioner Feaman, seconded by Vice Mayor Kirdahy, to adjourn the meeting at 10:54 a.m. In a roll call vote, the **motion** passed unanimously.

ADOPTED THIS 6th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2020

By: 
Deborah L. Tarrant, Mayor

ATTEST:


Sherry D. Henderson, CMC Town Clerk



August 13, 2020 Special Commission Meeting

Written Public Comments Submitted to the Clerk's Office

(entered into the record with Meeting Minutes)

Town Clerk

From: Marc Ginsberg <marcginsberg@mg-trialattorneys.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 11:16 AM
To: townclerk@townofhillsborobeach.com
Subject: Fw: Town of Hillsboro Beach-Special Commission Meeting-August 13, 2020

Dear Clerk:

Please see my comments below which I made to Mr. Doody as Town attorney. Please include in the Town Minutes of tomorrow's commission meeting.

Thank you

Marc Ginsberg, property Owner at 1221 Hillsboro Mile, Villa 3, Hillsboro Beach, FL 33062

Marc R. Ginsberg, Esq., marcginsberg@mg-trialattorneys.com, 15500 New Barn Road, Suite 107, Miami Lakes, FL. 33014, tel: 305-358-1181 x 104, fax: 305-231-7900, cell: 305-725-5993

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marc Ginsberg <marcginsberg@mg-trialattorneys.com>
To: Donald J. Doody <dooddy@gorencherof.com>; Tracey A. DeCarlo <tdecarlo@gorencherof.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020, 11:12:21 AM EDT
Subject: Town of Hillsboro Beach-Special Commission Meeting-August 13, 2020

Mr. Doody and Ms. DeCarlo::

I have reviewed the 4th Amendment to the Contract to be discussed at tomorrow's Town meeting. I am sure you have or intend to explain to the Town that it is virtually impossible to have financing in place by the proposed extended closing date of January 15, 2021. The Town's Initial brief is due on September 14, 2020. At the earliest the Respondents' brief(s) are due on October 13, 2020. Then the Town will likely file a Reply which at the least will take 15 days, if not 30 days, which brings us to December 2020. The Respondents intend to request Oral Argument due to the nature of the issue on appeal. With or without oral argument a decision from the Supreme Court will likely not be before January 15, 2021. Depending upon the decision, a Motion for Rehearing may be indicated.

Then, even if Judge Haimes is reversed on this narrow legal issue, an evidentiary hearing before him will still be required on the methodology, and perhaps other matters raised by the Supreme Court or the Respondents upon remand. That will likely not be complete before March 2021 at the earliest.

Thus, the Town appears to be paying an exorbitant sum (tax relief) for literally nothing of benefit.

I also note that this proposed amendment is silent with respect to the initial \$350,000 deposit and whether that is still refundable at the Town's request by a certain date.

As the Town relies on you for legal advice (including a case time line), I trust you will explain this to the Town tomorrow as it considers this proposed extension to the contract.

Regards,

Marc R. Ginsberg, Esq., marcginsberg@mg-trialattorneys.com , 15500 New Barn Road, Suite 107, Miami Lakes, FL. 33014, tel: 305-358-1181 x 104, fax: 305-231-7900, cell: 305-725-5993

Town Clerk

From: Marc Ginsberg <marcginsberg@mg-trialattorneys.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 12:51 PM
To: Tracey A. DeCarlo; Donald J. Doody
Cc: Melissa Augustin; townclerk@townofhillsborobeach.com
Subject: Re: Town of Hillsboro Beach-Special Commission Meeting-August 13, 2020

Mr. Doody:

Thank you for the info regarding the Oct. 1, 2020 deadline on return of the deposit. Given the unique circumstances, now appears to be a prudent time to seek an extension of the deposit return deadline as well as there will be no decision by the Fla Sup Ct. by October 1, 2020.

I will be unable to attend tomorrow's meeting due to work commitments so I am forwarding this email to the town clerk so it is part of the minutes.

Regards,

Marc R. Ginsberg, Esq., marcginsberg@mg-trialattorneys.com , 15500 New Barn Road, Suite 107, Miami Lakes, FL. 33014, tel: 305-358-1181 x 104, fax: 305-231-7900, cell: 305-725-5993

On Wednesday, August 12, 2020, 12:21:23 PM EDT, Donald J. Doody <ddoody@gorencherof.com> wrote:

Thank you Mr. Ginsburg for your email. For your information should the Town exercise its right of termination during the Due Diligence period the Town is entitled to a return of the \$350,000 deposit before October 1, 2020 .

DJ

Donald J. Doody



3099 East Commercial Boulevard, Suite 200

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308

Telephone: (954) 771-4500 x 5027 | (561) 276-9400 x 5027 | Fax: (954) 771-4923

Email: DDooddy@gorencherof.com | www.GorenCherof.com

Offices in Fort Lauderdale and Delray Beach, Florida.

FRAUD ALERT – WE DO NOT ACCEPT OR REQUEST CHANGES TO WIRING INSTRUCTIONS VIA EMAIL OR FAX. ALWAYS CALL OUR OFFICE TO VERIFY.

Disclaimer: This E-Mail is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521 and is legally privileged. The information contained in this E-Mail is intended only for use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this E-Mail in error, please notify the sender immediately at the phone number above and delete the information from your computer. Please do not copy or use it for any purpose nor disclose its contents to any other person.

From: Marc Ginsberg [mailto:marcginsberg@mg-trialattorneys.com]

Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 11:12 AM

To: Donald J. Doody; Tracey A. DeCarlo

Subject: Town of Hillsboro Beach-Special Commission Meeting-August 13, 2020

Mr. Doody and Ms. DeCarlo::

I have reviewed the 4th Amendment to the Contract to be discussed at tomorrow's Town meeting. I am sure you have or intend to explain to the Town that it is virtually impossible to have financing in place by the proposed extended closing date of January 15, 2021. The Town's Initial brief is due on September 14, 2020. At the earliest the Respondents' brief(s) are due on October 13, 2020. Then the Town will likely file a Reply which at the least will take 15 days, if not 30 days, which brings us to December 2020. The Respondents intend to request Oral Argument due to the nature of the issue on appeal. With or without oral argument a decision from the Supreme Court will likely not be before January 15, 2021. Depending upon the decision, a Motion for Rehearing may be indicated.

Then, even if Judge Haimen is reversed on this narrow legal issue, an evidentiary hearing before him will still be required on the methodology, and perhaps other matters raised by the Supreme Court or the Respondents upon remand. That will likely not be complete before March 2021 at the earliest.

Thus, the Town appears to be paying an exorbitant sum (tax relief) for literally nothing of benefit.

I also note that this proposed amendment is silent with respect to the initial \$350,000 deposit and whether that is still refundable at the Town's request by a certain date.

As the Town relies on you for legal advice (including a case time line), I trust you will explain this to the Town tomorrow as it considers this proposed extension to the contract.

Regards,

Marc R. Ginsberg, Esq., marcginsberg@mg-trialattorneys.com , 15500 New Barn Road, Suite 107, Miami Lakes, FL. 33014, tel: 305-358-1181 x 104, fax: 305-231-7900, cell: 305-725-5993

Town Clerk

From: jrf69@comcast.net
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 10:41 PM
To: TownClerk@TownofHillsboroBeach.com
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR 08-13-2020 SPECIAL MEETING
Attachments: 08-13-2020 Commission Meeting Presentation Letter.docx; 08-13-2020 Commission Meeting Presentation Letter.pdf

To whom it may concern. I have written a letter for reading at the Special Commission Meeting being held on 08-13-2020. I have attached it in both PDF and Word Format because I do not know which you would prefer.

If you need it in another format, please call me at 561-445-4343

Cordially,

J R Fishman

To the Attention of The Hillsboro Beach Commission Concerning the August 13, 2020 Special Meeting:

Early December 2019, the Commission announced a Contract to Purchase Real Property at 1205-6, and that there would be a Referendum for the Voters to approve Revenue Bond Financing, the filing deadline for which would be early January 2020 in order to be placed on the March 2020 Ballot. Around the same time, the Commission had experienced headwinds within the Deerfield Beach Litigation over the removal of the DFB Groin Field (which works very well) and/or the establishment of a Regional Beach Management Project Plan that would gain the Town eligibility for County, State or Federal Funds. Controversially, the Commission suggested that this would also require the beach to become accessible to the Public. The land purchase was justified by the need to provide Staging for Nourishment Sand, Parking for Commission Meetings, Public Beach Access and Parking, and a smorgasbord of future uses including a Fire/Rescue Station (because the Mayor feared that DFB would raise these contract fees due to the litigation, even though the Fire Department is controlled by Broward County) and possibly the eventual construction of an Oceanfront Commission Meeting Chamber because the Town requires more administrative space at 1210. The objections to many of these premises were relentless and unheeded.

For almost 50 years various Commissions have spent millions on wasteful systems of Beach Nourishment. The Mayor based her original Election campaign on the premise that we would not need nourishment as frequently if we Litigated against the two cities sharing our barrier island. This Commission subsequently spent well over \$2 Million in Litigation Legal Fees and \$144,000 for Engineering evidence in just a few years. I do not believe this Commission has a clue what they have spent feeding Lawyers, so I obtained the P & Ls for the past five years.

To make matters worse, during this fruitless Litigation against DFB, this Commission knee-jerked overnight at purchasing 1205-6 simply because they were solicited by the Seller. This was not part of the Mayor's master plan to maintain the Beach. This property had been on the market for many years prior, and for less money. The Commission was told that the property was going to be offered for residential development at an asking price of \$15.5 Million. Did the Commission proceed to negotiate in the fashion of a "normal" Buyer? Which in this case was suggested to be a developer? Under current Zoning, the Contract price would have resulted in a prohibitive land cost per unit. Did they consider the profit potential for a 32-condo restriction on only 100 linear feet of Oceanfront sandwiched between two existing subdivisions and a West Side adjacent to utility structures and a water pumping station? More significantly, did they make a lowball offer to commence a negotiation? Of course not. They are using Other People's Money.

Instead, this Commission proceeded to spend over \$85,000 in Legal and other Professional fees during the Contract process and the subsequent unfair Assessment Validation Case after they hastily abandoned the Referendum Bond that they knew was required by F.S. Chapter 75.03 for purchasing Real Property. Inundated by objections to this Purchase Contract not only over the price, but also the multitude of uses they presented, they dropped that Referendum Bond requirement like a hot potato over the Christmas Holidays and decided to call this Real Property Purchase part of a "maintenance item" incorporated into a Sand Nourishment Project so that it could be financed with a Tax Levy for a non-ad-valorem Assessment. To make matters worse, the Commission chose to model this Assessment apportionment after one that was done in 2010 to finance pumping sand off the ocean floor from a barge and piping it directly to the beach. At that time, this unreasonably apportioned Assessment was mired in controversy and unfortunately the Taxpayers did not understand how to oppose or Appeal its Validation in Court.

There was no reasonable justification given to repeat the unfair apportionment utilized 10 years ago for a barge pumping project. All that sand, by the way, is long gone, as is most of the of sand from every other nourishment project, starting in 1972, and including the most recent in March 2020. No matter, during every Pre-Bond Validation Hearing Meeting, the Commission was unwavering. Justifiably, this time the Commission LOST their case. However NOW the Legal tab will now continue after the Town Attorney has LOST the Case, and he wants the Commission to Appeal it to the Florida Supreme Court. The Commission fell for his excuses and his pitch to Appeal and want to Budget another \$500,000 for Legal Fees related to this Appeal and their continuing beach Litigations. This is happening after the Mayor guaranteed at every Meeting that she would prevail in Court and any opposition was a

waste of time. She based this confidence on the 2010 HB Assessment Validation and the advice of her overpaid consultants.

The Mayor has stated that there are no other solutions for the beach problems of HB. This is not true. This Town has spent 50 years and millions of dollars dumping sand on the beach, only to have it drift south and vanish. However, there are many beach towns, including some located on similar barrier islands along the US Atlantic Coast which realized that Groin Systems were the only way to hold sand on the beach. Even DFB loves their Groin Field. Every time the subject of Groins was mentioned to this Mayor, it was summarily dismissed. Groin Field investigation work does not fit the agenda of Litigation and now buying overpriced land. Have all the various Groin Systems available been investigated by this Commission as an alternative to spending \$18 Million on a sand dump staging area? Groin Fields do not have to be constructed all at once. There has not been sufficient due diligence.

With respect to the unfair Assessment financing the Commission attempted for 1205-6, contrary to their premise, there will be no special benefit derived from this land to any single property or group of properties. It is evidentially clear that the entire Town has access to the beach and all properties derive just value from being along the Town Beaches. This transaction would be simply the Purchase of Real Property. It would become an asset on the Town Balance Sheet for purposes that might change over time. It should belong to all Taxpayers proportional to parcel valuations. This land is not the same as a barge temporarily anchored offshore being used to pump sand as part of a beach maintenance project. It is Real Property. Even more sinister, lurking in the background would always be the possibility for it to be used to provide parking for Public Beach Access as well as the smorgasbord of other future uses originally presented by the Commission. While the Mayor promised on behalf of the entire Commission that this would never happen during their tenure, their Town Attorney, nevertheless, referenced a Regional Beach Management Project Plan in Court during the Validation Hearing.

The arguments against the unfair Assessment presented at the June Hearing were compelling. But the Commission wants to use similar arguments in an Appeal because they think the Judge was inexperienced and because the Town Attorney stated that he was not able to clearly explain that though the Florida Statute governing the purchase of land by District Governments clearly requires a Referendum, he claims that it doesn't explicitly state a prohibition for Assessments being used to purchase Real Property, and that a District Government is afforded powers of implementation that are not expressly reserved to the State. This Home Rule precedent was already used in the Town Case. He maintains that the Court must presume the validity of a Resolution passed by the Town, regardless that its financing method clearly flies in the face of the Florida Statutes. And the Mayor thinks they will prevail by accusing the Judge of only 3 ½ years on the Civil Bench. Frankly, what the Mayor is not admitting is that the Judge was not only impressed that there were so many objections, because unlike 2010, Taxpayers were paying attention, but in fact he only had to rule on the Law, F.S. Chapter 75.03. There was no Evidentiary Hearing required. This is not worth chasing to the Florida Supreme Court. If a District Government wants to purchase Real Property, there should be a Referendum. Spending more Legal money to overrule this is more waste of our Taxpayer money.

In conclusion, this Town has wasted millions of dollars dumping sand on the beach only to have it migrate away as well as to pay exorbitant fees to Lawyers for fruitless Litigations. I realize that some sand raw material costs have been reimbursed by the Federal Government. But these are Tax Dollars as well. Future Budgets may be compromised as well, considering the state of the economy. It would behoove Hillsboro Beach to invest in Groins that will stifle the sand migration and minimize future nourishment requirements. I suggest that the Commission take a breath; immediately stop adding MORE WASTED LEGAL FEES to the millions already paid to Attorneys for Litigation AND unfair Assessment Cases; and immediately Cancel the Contract to Purchase 1205-6. The Financing Contingency fell through. We DO NOT NEED this land now. It is a TOTAL waste of money. We need to commence investigation on the construction of Groin Fields from the DFB line to the Lighthouse Inlet. That is the method required for the HB section of our barrier island to retain sand rather than Wave it Goodbye.

J R Fishman

Town Clerk

From: Sea <seafont@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 9:56 AM
To: TownClerk@TownofHillsboroBeach.com
Subject: 3-Minute Public Comment



*975 Hillsboro Mile
Hillsboro Beach, Florida 33062
954-781-7356, cell 954-778-4430
SeaFront@Comcast.net*

John Carlson at 975 rejects the Winter Sun property appeal because in my opinion:

- A. Buying this land is being made for political purposes. Residents or D.E.P. never complained about lack of beach access.
- B. None of the commissioners have submitted or documented grounds to support this massive burden on top of an appeal. A cost/benefit analysis does not exist.
- C. The purchase will serve as a tax cut to the town.
- D. Town lost the financing court hearing; appeals fail about 85 percent of the time.
- E. Additional legal fees to pursue this issue are wasteful and unwarranted; commissioners did not publically question the odds, timing, efficacy or costs to date.
- F. Twelve-foot access and ½ acre staging area alternatives have not been documented and promulgated.
- G. The highest and best use of waterfront property is not a dog park, driveway or truck stop.
- H. Not a word exists for selling the useless Intracoastal property section if ocean access is truly the primary need.

- I. No mandate exists to support “plenty of space for trucks” and no space is needed for mixing or sifting of sand.
- J. The prior purchase price of \$12 million on 3/12/17 for the Winter Sun properties were never validated as an arms-length transaction. Why?
- K. If the proposal materializes, multiple owners of the three adjacent properties will likely see a decline in their property values.
- L. The land if approved, is sure to arouse a new spending spree of “improvements” to the new found real estate gambit.
- M. Eventually, the erosion problem will likely be solved or mitigated. The likelihood of selling the properties and returning proceeds to taxpayers is regrettably--zero!
- N. One appraisal is predicated upon “as long as the current economic conditions remain stable.” The pandemic economy is in the state of collapse.
- O. The properties fail in the category of highest and best use. Buying a multifamily RM-16 zoned parcel for use as a driveway and parking lot is dysfunctional.
- P. Repeat unanimous voting by commissioners illustrates a disconnect from citizens. Voting on the project is absolutely crucial to determine if commissioners can correctly read the mind of voters.
- Q. The option to use, rent, lease, easement, eminent domain or condemnation was never publically explored and documented professionally.
- R. Commissioners failed their due diligence including an investigation of the seller *prior* to making an offer. Results were denied as “not available.”
- S. Truck staging could be done at City Hall--plus 46 parking spaces north of the Embassy Suites on public property just for meter time.
- T. Honorable Judge Haimes may have been poisoned if this appeal proceeds; judgment day may come when he gets even at his upcoming Hillsboro/Deerfield trial.

U. Lastly, where is it written that Deerfield Beach will refuse Town's access to the beach for purposes of a nourishment?

Town Clerk

From: Celinda Sawtelle <celindasawtelle@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 11:12 PM
To: TownClerk@townofhillsborobeach.com
Subject: Town Meeting 8/13/2020

I object to the passage of **Resolution No. 2020-49. The purchase of 1205-6 Hillsboro should be abandoned at this time for the following reasons:**

- 1. The Community of Hillsboro was first told they could vote on buying this property and many residents object to buying this overpriced property. The Commission never gave us the chance to vote.**
 - 2. The assessment methodology was defeated in court in June. There is no other methodology in place. The Commission intends on spending more of the taxpayer's money to fight this in higher court.**
 - 3. More of the taxpayers money will be spent holding on to a contract that is overpriced to begin with.**
 - 4. The commission is neglectful to leave an abandoned building standing in poor condition. The seller needs to repair or demolish the building at his cost and pay liens and fines.**
 - 5. I have many ideas on saving our Island besides sueing our sister city and putting more sand on the beach that will wash away-**
- I would be glad to present these ideas to the Commission.**

**Celinda Sawtelle
1057 Hillsboro Mile**

Town Clerk

From: Celinda Sawtelle <celindasawtelle@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 9:59 AM
To: TownClerk@townofhillsborobeach.com
Subject: Re: Town Meeting 8/13/2020

The plan for saving our beach is

If they want to know how to save the beach they need to stop this nonsense with Deerfield immediately apologize to them either renegotiate or leave them alone . I think the great negotiation would be to take the two -thee end groins out when we put ours in. We need to repair those horrible connections with our sister city Boca and Deerfieldi. We need to get back to starting a coalition with this many towns as we can get under our arms that way we can get federal funding without having to have a public beach.

I object to the passage of **Resolution No. 2020-49. The purchase of 1205-6 Hillsboro should be abandoned at this time for the following reasons:**

- 1. The Community of Hillsboro was first told they could vote on buying this property and many residents object to buying this overpriced property. The Commission never gave us the chance to vote.**
 - 2. The assessment methodology was defeated in court in June. There is no other methodology in place. The Commission intends on spending more of the taxpayer's money to fight this in higher court.**
 - 3. More of the taxpayers money will be spent holding on to a contract that is overpriced to begin with.**
 - 4. The commission is neglectful to leave an abandoned building standing in poor condition. The seller needs to repair or demolish the building at his cost and pay liens and fines.**
 - 5. I have many ideas on saving our Island besides suing our sister city and putting more sand on the beach that will wash away-**
- I would be glad to present these ideas to the Commission.**

**Celinda Sawtelle
1057 Hillsboro Mile**